1 (Case called) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Good afternoon counsel starting 3 with the government, please state your name for the record. 4 MS. CHONG: Good afternoon, your Honor. AUSA Jane 5 Chong for the government, and I'm joined by Postal Inspector 6 Mark Rizzo. 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Chong and Inspector Rizzo. 8 9 MS. GALLICCHIO: Good afternoon, your Honor. The 10 Federal Defenders by Amy Gallicchio and Lisa Rahdert on behalf of Mr. Parket. 11 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you both Ms. Gallicchio 13 and Ms. Rahdert. And good afternoon to you, Mr. Parket. Good 14 afternoon to our court reporter. Thank you very much for being 15 here. 16 So we are here today for a change-of-plea hearing for 17 Mr. Parket. 18 Let me just clarify for the record, sir, you speak and 19 understand English clearly? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 21 THE COURT: You do not need the services of an 22 interpreter? 2.3 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not.

understand the Crime Victims' Act?

24

25

THE COURT: Are there victims entitled to notice

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. And a number of them are present in the courtroom today.

THE COURT: Welcome to all of you then.

And notice has been given to everyone entitled.

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: So on June 2 of 2022, Mr. Parket appeared before this Court for arraignment. He waived indictment and pled not guilty to the information in this action which is filed on ECF number 12. That information charges four counts and includes forfeiture and substitute forfeiture allegations. Trial in this case was scheduled for March 14.

I'm now informed that the defendant has an application to withdraw his plea of not guilty and pursuant to a plea agreement with the government, enter a plea of guilty to Count One and Count Three of the information.

Count One charges the defendant with wire fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code Section 1343 and 2. In particular, the government alleges that from at least in or about November of 2016, up to and including in or about November of 2021, Mr. Parket willfully and knowingly, having devised and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communications in interstate and foreign

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, which affected a financial institution; to wit, Mr. Parket sought and obtained more than \$50 million in loans from at least two dozen individuals and institutional lenders by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and documents, including 7 falsified bank and brokerage statements and, in furtherance of the scheme, transmitted and caused to be transmitted into and out of the Southern District of New York interstate emails, 10 telephone calls, and wire transfers of funds.

Count Three charges the defendant with bank fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code Section 1344 and 2. particular, the government alleges that in or about June of 2020, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Mr. Parket willfully and knowingly did execute and attempt to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud a financial institution, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, known as the FDIC, and to obtain money, funds, credit, assets, securities, and other property owned by and under the custody and control of such financial institutions by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises; to wit, the defendant obtained millions of dollars in loans from an FDIC insured bank by means of fraudulent documents reflecting fake assets.

Ms. Gallicchio, is that correct and consistent with

- yours and the defendant's understanding?
- MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, it is, your Honor.
- 3 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 Excuse me one minute.
- 5 (Pause)

- THE COURT: All right. I have a copy of a plea agreement between the parties.
- 8 Is this a final agreement at this point?
- 9 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio?
- 11 MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. I ask because this is not the first time that I've been told there was a final agreement between the parties.
- But we are now at the point where there are no issues

 and this is final?
- MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 | THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio?
- MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor. It's final. It's signed. We're prepared.
- 21 THE COURT: So the plea agreement which has been
 22 provided to the Court -- let me just put the description on the
 23 record because, as I say, this is not the first version I've
 24 been given -- is a letter on the letterhead of the
- 25 United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney for

the Southern District of New York.

2.3

It is a seven-page, single-spaced letter dated

January 30 of 2023, addressed to Amy Gallicchio, Esq., In re:

United States v. Jeffrey Soberman Parket, case 22 Cr. 311

(MKV). And that agreement letter will be marked as Government Exhibit 1.

Now, Mr. Parket, before I accept your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I need to ask you, and I need for you to be under oath when I ask you those questions.

The reason is that I need to assure that the plea that you tell me you want to enter today is a valid plea. So I may at times cover a point more than once. I may cover matters that were addressed in various forms or agreements that you may have signed. If I do that, it's because it is very important that you understand what's happening today and that we have a very clear record that you understand what you're doing today.

So if at any point you don't understand something I'm saying or something that I'm asking you, I would request that you please tell me that. And I'll pause. You can speak to your counsel at that point, or I will try to clarify the question.

All right. Will you do that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dempsey, would you please administer the oath.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Can you tell me when and for what.

THE DEFENDANT: The government recently said that I --

in addition to the therapist that I've been working with, that I need to have a psychiatrist as well.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you in a minute about any mental health conditions.

THE DEFENDANT: I apologize.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: That's all right. Talk to me first about any physical medical issues or treatments that you've received.

THE DEFENDANT: I have physical medical issues, but I have not been able to see a doctor in quite some time.

THE COURT: You haven't been incarcerated; right?

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: Does anything about this case interfere with your ability to get your medical care?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do any of those medical conditions which you tell me you have interfere with your ability to understand what we're doing today?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do they interfere in any way with your ability to enter into an informed plea in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No, they do not.

THE COURT: Now let's turn to mental health professionals.

Are you now or have you recently been under the care of a mental health professional?

THE DEFENDANT: Several. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Can you tell me how recently 3 and for what conditions. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Since I quess February of last year, 5 February of 2022, I've been speaking to a therapist three times 6 a week, most recently today. More recently, I was told that I 7 needed to speak to an additional court-ordered therapist and 8 psychiatrist. And that began I would say approximately three 9 weeks ago. 10 THE COURT: And who told you that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Pretrial services. 12 THE COURT: Is that pursuant to the conditions of his 13 release? 14 MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. That is one of the conditions, 15 your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. When you said, 17 "court-ordered," I was a little perplexed by that because I 18 haven't ordered anything. 19 But you understand that at the time you were released 20 when you were first presented, certain conditions were set. 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And this was one of the conditions that 2.3 was set?

THE COURT: Okay. Does anything about your seeing a

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24

mental health professional interfere with your ability to understand what we're doing here today?

THE DEFENDANT: No. It does not.

THE COURT: You have been able to adequately consult with counsel to receive advice, to understand that advice, and to make informed judgments about how we're proceeding?

Is correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Correct.

THE COURT: Does anything about your mental health treatment that you've been undergoing as a condition of your release in any way impact your ability to proceed today?

THE DEFENDANT: No, it does not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been hospitalized for any mental illness?

THE DEFENDANT: I was put in a clinic for about one week, a one-week time.

THE COURT: As part of the treatment as a condition of bail? Or this is a separate issue?

THE DEFENDANT: That was prior to that.

THE COURT: And when and how did that happen?

THE DEFENDANT: I tried to hurt myself a little over a year ago, and I was placed in a facility called Gracie Square for, like I said, approximately one week.

THE COURT: When you said you were "placed" there, was it involuntary are you saying?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I guess you could say it was 2 involuntary. 3 THE COURT: Who placed you there? THE DEFENDANT: I was first brought -- the EMT found 4 5 me trying to hurt myself. And I think it was the EMT or the 6 police who found me trying to hurt myself. They brought me to 7 I believe it was Cornell Weill hospital where I spent a night. 8 And from there, I was transferred to Gracie Square. 9 THE COURT: Is that after you were arrested in this 10 case? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: Now, does that incident in any way impact 13 your ability to fully comprehend the charges against you, the 14 plea that you're telling me that you want to enter into, or any 15 other aspect of what we're doing today? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, it does not. 17 THE COURT: Does it had in any way impede your ability 18 to understand and fully participate in today's proceeding and 19 in your defense in this case? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, it does not. 21 THE COURT: Have you at any time ever been treated or 22 hospitalized for any kind of addiction, including to drugs or 2.3 to alcohol? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, my God, no.

I'm sorry?

25

THE COURT:

1 THE DEFENDANT: No. 2 THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or 3 alcohol, even if you weren't hospitalized or treated for it? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I've never tried an illegal drug in my 5 life, your Honor. THE COURT: So in the past 24 hours, have you taken 6 7 any drugs, legal or illegal, any medicines, any pills, any kind 8 of medication whatsoever? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: What have you taken? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Every night before I go to sleep, I 12 take a generic version of Lexapro. 13 Is that a blood pressure medicine? THE COURT: 14 THE DEFENDANT: It's something that the psychiatrist 15 and therapist decided I needed, more for my mind. 16 To help you sleep? THE COURT: 17 THE DEFENDANT: No. It's not to help me sleep. I 18 don't exactly know what it is because I asked the question, and 19 I never got a great answer, but I think it's more to stabilize 20 my mood. I'm not an expert on this by any stretch. 21 THE COURT: But you feel your mind is clear today; 22 correct? 2.3 THE DEFENDANT: It's certainly clear enough to

THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you had any

understand what we're exactly doing today. Yes.

24

THE COURT: Do either counsel have any doubt as to the

THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not.

24

1 | defendant's competence to plead at this time?

2 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor. I don't.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

So, Mr. Parket, your attorney has told me that you wish to withdraw your plea of not guilty and to enter a plea of guilty to Count One and Count Three of the information in this case.

Is that in fact what you wish to do today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You wish to plead guilty to each of those counts? Count One and Count Three.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with your lawyers, including the charges to which you tell me you intend to plead guilty and any possible defenses to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you discussed the consequences of entering a plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and their representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Parket's responses to my questions and my observation of his personal demeanor, I do find that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Now, sir, before I accept any plea from you, I need to ask you certain additional questions. My questions are intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of entering a plea of guilty.

I'm going to begin by describing to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and the laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights -- you will be waiving them -- if you enter a plea of guilty.

So please listen very carefully. And I'll remind you again if you don't understand anything that I'm saying to you or anything that I'm talking to you about, please tell me that. And we'll take a pause, and you can speak with your lawyers, and you can ask me to rephrase what I'm saying or to clarify for you.

Will you do that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I will, your Honor.

THE COURT: So under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and a public trial on the charges against you by a jury with respect to the charges contained in the information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: And do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty to the charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and you would not be required to prove that you were innocent? Instead, the government would have the burden of proving you guilt by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial, a jury made up of 12 people selected from this district would have to unanimously in order to find you guilty?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if there were a trial, you would be represented by an attorney at trial and at all other stages of the proceedings? And if you couldn't afford one, you would have the right to have an attorney provided to you free of cost.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial, you would have the right to see and to hear all of the witnesses against you? Your attorney could cross-examine those witnesses. You would have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and to offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired. You would have the right to 7 have witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense. And you personally would have the right to testify, but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

And by that I mean the jury would be instructed that it could not assume that you were not testifying because you were guilty or because you had something to hide.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal the jury verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of

1 these rights that I've just outlined for you? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about these 4 rights? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not. Do you understand that by entering a plea 6 THE COURT: 7 of guilty today, you will be giving up each and every one of 8 these rights? You will be waiving your rights. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 11 THE COURT: And do you also understand that you'll be 12 waiving any possible claim that your constitutional rights were 13 violated and you will not have a trial? 14 Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a plea 17 of guilty, you will also have to give up your right not to 18 incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about 19 what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as 20 charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt? 21 Do you understand that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your 24 mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I understand.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

24

THE COURT: And you're charged in Count Two of the 1 2 information with mail fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code Section 1341 and 2. 3 4 Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: You're charged in Count Three of the 6 7 information with bank fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code 8 Section 1344 and 2. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 11 THE COURT: And you are charged in Count Four with aggravated identity theft in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code 12 13 Section 1028A(a)(1), 1028A(b), and 2. 14 Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Now I'm told that pursuant to the plea 17 agreement that you've entered into, the government will accept 18 a guilty plea as to Count One and Count Three. 19 Is that your understanding? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Then at this time let me ask: 22 Ms. Chong, would you please outline on the record the elements. 2.3 Let's start count by count, first the wire fraud

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. To prove the defendant

offense charged in Count One of the information.

24

guilty of wire fraud under Count One of the information, the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

That the defendant devised a scheme to defraud or to obtain money or property by means of materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises or willfully participated in such a scheme with knowledge of its fraudulent nature.

Two, that the defendant acted with the intent to defraud.

Three, that in advancing, furthering, or carrying out the scheme, the defendant transmitted any writing, signal, or sound by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce or caused the transmission of any writing, signal, or sound of some kind by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce.

To prove the defendant guilty of bank fraud under Count Three of the information, the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt these elements:

One, that the defendant knowingly executed a scheme or artifice to defraud a financial institution or knowingly executed a scheme to obtain the money, funds, or other property owned by or under the control of a financial institution by means of material false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Two, that the defendant did so with the intent to 1 deceive the financial institution and obtain the financial 2 3 institution's property; And three, that the financial institution was at the 4 5 time insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. As to both Counts One and Three, the government would 6 7 also be required to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that venue is proper in the Southern District of New York. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 Mr. Parket, do you understand that if you were to go 11 to trial, the government would have to prove each and every part or element of the offenses with which you have been 12 13 charged as just outlined on the record by counsel for the 14 government and would have to do so beyond a reasonable doubt? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 16 Do you understand the matters that the THE COURT: 17 government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19

THE COURT: And you've had ample opportunity to discuss that with your counsel.

Correct?

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand, sir -- I'm going to turn and talk to you now about the potential penalties for the offenses you tell me you intend to plead guilty to.

1 Do you understand that the maximum possible term of 2 imprisonment for the wire fraud offense charged in Count One to 3 which you tell me you intend to plead quilty is a term of 4 imprisonment of up to 20 years? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that the penalty for 6 7 Count One, the wire fraud offense, can also include a maximum 8 term of supervised release after you're released from prison of 9 up to three years? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And do you understand that in addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the maximum possible 12 13 punishment for Count One also includes certain financial 14 penalties? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand that the fine allowed 17 for Count One is \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain 18 relating to the offense or twice the loss to persons other than 19 yourself as a result of the offense, whichever is greater? 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And do you understand that the Court must 2.3 also impose a mandatory \$100 special assessment with respect to 24 Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that the

Court must also order you to pay restitution to any victims of

the crime charged in Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that with respect to Count Three, the bank fraud charge, the maximum possible term of imprisonment is a term of up to 30 years?

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Three can also include a maximum term of supervised release, after you've been released from prison, of up to five years?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that in addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the maximum possible punishment for Count Three also includes certain financial penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum fine with respect to Count Three is \$1 million or twice the gross pecuniary gain relating to the offense or twice the loss to persons other than yourself as a result of the offense, whichever is greater?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court also,

with respect to this count, must impose the mandatory \$100 special assessment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Give me one moment, please.

(Pause)

2.3

THE COURT: All right. This is really a question for counsel before I turn back to talking with Mr. Parket.

It's my understanding -- as I've said, we've been here -- not "here" but we've been close to having a plea hearing previously. And you gave me I guess it was just a draft of a plea agreement at that time. That plea agreement recited restitution with respect to both Counts One and Three.

The current plea agreement, as I understand it, carries restitution with regard to Count One, which I understand to be mandatory, and then with regard to Count Three, there is not mandatory restitution tied to that count. But the plea agreement provides that notwithstanding the offense of conviction, the defendant agrees to pay additional restitution in an amount of \$200,000 pursuant to Section 3663A(3) and 3664 of Title 18.

Is that correct? Do I understand what the restitution agreement is here?

MS. CHONG: Think that's correct, your Honor. There's a consent provision that your Honor just cited which applies to one victim, a victim under Count One of the information.

Is that the \$200,000 or the larger amount? 1 THE COURT: 2 MS. CHONG: It's the \$200,000 and the larger amount, 3 your Honor. But the consent provision itself only applies to 4 \$200,000. 5 THE COURT: That's not a Count One related charge? MS. CHONG: That is a Count One related charge, 6 7 your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Why did you break it up this way? In 9 other words, you're telling me there's mandatory restitution 10 under Count One in the amount set forth in the plea agreement, 11 which we'll talk about in a minute, and then you say, in addition, there's a consent provision for \$200,000. 12 13 MS. CHONG: That's correct, your Honor. So for that 14 \$200,000, because it falls outside of the fraud period that was 15 charged, that actually cannot be considered mandatory. 16 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I'm asking. 17 MS. CHONG: Understood, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Are you in agreement with that 19 explanation, Ms. Gallicchio? 20 MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, I am, your Honor? 21 THE COURT: Mr. Parket, do you understand the 22 discussion I just had with counsel? 2.3 THE DEFENDANT: No. I apologize. I understand the 24 numbers. I don't understand everything you just said. 25 apologize.

THE COURT: Are you in agreement with the restitution numbers that are set forth in the current plea agreement which I have been given a copy of?

THE DEFENDANT: I've agreed to them, yes.

THE COURT: And the total amount of restitution -- we talked about the fact that with respect to Count One, I am obligated to order restitution, and you and the government have agreed on the amount of that restitution.

Correct?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: I've agreed to it. Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And there is an additional \$200,000 restitution amount which you are also consenting to.

Correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And you understand that that will be a consequence of your moving forward with your guilty plea today.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I have not been given a proposed order of restitution. I have the email that the government sent me saying you're still working out certain terms of that.

Ms. Gallicchio, I want to be clear. The dollar amounts are set, and we are not going to have arguments about revoking or withdrawing the plea based on whatever open items

remain with respect to this proposed restitution order.

2 Correct?

2.3

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Chong, this is the reason I wanted it ahead of time. There have been numerous false starts in this case. And it was my understanding that it was all about negotiations between the parties about financial penalties.

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. Those negotiations were about the final amounts that you see in the plea agreement today and in the forfeiture order. I'm confident going forward that we won't have disputes as to the particular provisions. But there are some sensitivities that we want to observe. We want to make sure those are provided for in the restitution.

THE COURT: I'm fine with all of that. But you previously gave me a plea agreement that had dollar figures in it. That's why I'm being overly careful and cautious here to make sure, Ms. Gallicchio, you are in full agreement with what's being represented.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor. I don't know if the Court still has that agreement, but those numbers have changed over a period of time.

THE COURT: Yes. I know they have.

MS. GALLICCHIO: In fact, Mr. Parket is agreeing to pay even more than that.

THE COURT: That's why I'm asking.

1 MS. GALLICCHIO: That is his intention, to fully pay back his victims. So this is final. We are satisfied with 2 3 this. There will be no further discussion with respect to the 4 amounts, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. You're in agreement with that, 6 Mr. Parket? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. Can I ask a question? 8 THE COURT: Why don't you ask your counsel a question. 9 (Defendant and counsel conferred) 10 THE DEFENDANT: I'm fine, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that once we 12 proceed and I accept your plea of quilty, you cannot come back 13 and say, oh, the dollar amounts are wrong and I want to 14 withdraw my plea. 15 You understand that; right? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It is my intention to repay 17 everybody everything. 18 THE COURT: All right. So let me continue with our 19 conversation then. So with respect to Count Three then -- Ms. Chong, this 20 21 is to you -- there is not a separate restitution obligation. 22 Correct? 2.3 MS. CHONG: That's correct. 24 THE COURT: By statute it's not required you're 25 telling me?

1 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor, because that victim 2 actually received those monies back. 3 THE COURT: Okay. You're in agreement with that, Ms. Gallicchio? 4 5 MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you both very much for that. 6 THE COURT: 7 Sir, do you understand that you are pleading quilty to 8 two separate counts, two separate offenses? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And you understand that you will be 11 sentenced to a term of imprisonment for each count? 12 You understand that; right? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And you understand that I could order you 15 to serve those sentences concurrently or you may be ordered to 16 serve them consecutively? Do you understand that? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Meaning one after the other. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And do you understand that as part of your 22 plea agreement, you have admitted the forfeiture allegations in 2.3 the information with respect to Counts One and Three and you 24 have agreed to forfeit to the United States a sum of money

representing proceeds traceable to the commission of the

(212) 805-0300

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you've had the opportunity to discuss it with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

2.3

THE COURT: And you've agreed to all of the terms in this proposed consent preliminary order of forfeiture and money judgment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, sir, do you understand that the amount of forfeiture to which you are agreeing does not serve to reduce any fines, the restitution amount we just previously discussed, or any other financial penalties that the Court may impose?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I want to talk to you, before we proceed, about the supervised release aspect of any potential penalty.

Supervised release means that after you serve any term of imprisonment and you are released from prison, you will be subject to monitoring and certain terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of those terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial.

If you're on supervised release and you don't comply with any of the set terms and conditions, you can be returned to prison for up to three years. And you will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of

your sentence, and you will be given to credit for any time that you spent on supervised release prior to any violation.

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You should also understand that there is no parole in the federal system. If you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole. There is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior, but you would have to serve at least 85 percent of the time to which you are sentenced. There may or there may not be other opportunities for early release under the First Step Act.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if I accept your plea of guilty and adjudge you guilty, you may also be giving up certain valuable civil rights, including the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to possess any kind of firearm, if you currently have or could otherwise attain such rights?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now you've told me you are a United States citizen. I have to put on the record and be sure you understand that if for any reason that is not the case and I accept your plea and adjudge you guilty, there could be adverse

2.3

effects on your immigration status which could include further detention following completion of your sentence or removal or deportation from the United States.

So let me ask you: Did you discuss your immigration status with your lawyers and any possible immigration consequences of your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: We did not discuss that specifically, but I was born in the Bronx in 1963. I'm a U.S. citizen.

THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio, I'm just going to give you a couple of minutes and ask you to, please, is just talk to your client about it so the record is clear that he had the opportunity to confer with you.

(Defendant and counsel conferred)

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, I have discussed it with him, and we did go over that portion of the plea agreement. I am satisfied that he is a U.S. citizen and that he understands the consequences if that is not the case.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

That is in fact accurate, Mr. Parket?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So let's talk, sir, about the sentencing guidelines. Under current law, there are certain sentencing guidelines that judges must consider in determining what an appropriate sentence is.

Have you talked with your lawyers about the sentencing

guidelines?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in addition to considering the sentencing guidelines, in imposing a sentence, I must also consider certain additional factors that are set forth at Title 18 U.S. Code Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I have discretion, while taking the guidelines into account, to sentence you to any period of imprisonment up to 20 years on Count One and 30 years on Count Two?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that even though the plea agreement includes a stipulated or agreed-upon sentencing guidelines calculation, I cannot determine your sentence until after a presentence report is prepared by the probation department and the government and you and your lawyer will have the opportunity to review that report and to challenge any facts that are set forth in the report?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I want to talk to you about the plea agreement which has been provided to me.

Do you understand that according to the plea agreement or in the plea agreement, you have agreed or stipulated with

the government that the range, the guidelines range, appropriate to the offenses in Count One and Count Three to which you are pleading guilty is 121 to 151 months of incarceration?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: And do you also understand that the plea agreement stipulates that the applicable fine range for your case is \$35,000 to \$1 million?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that that stipulation doesn't bind the Court or the probation department as to the facts on which it is based, how to apply the guidelines to the facts, or what will be an appropriate sentence in your case?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I may decide to impose a sentence that is outside the guidelines range?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And just to be clear, you understand that I must order restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of your conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your lawyer or anybody else has attempted to estimate or predict for you what your sentence will be, their estimate or their prediction could well be wrong?

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: No one, not your lawyer, not the government or its lawyer, can or should give you any assurance of what your sentence will be since, as I've just said, that sentence cannot be determined until after the probation office report is prepared and I've ruled on any challenges or objections to the report and I have determined under the law what the appropriate sentence is.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be or if it's different from what you expect or you hope it will be, if you're surprised or disappointed by your sentence, if I accept your plea of guilty today, you will be bound to that guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw that plea at the time of sentencing or at any time after today?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that even if the government doesn't oppose or take a position on what your lawyer will ask me to impose as your sentence, I'm obligated to impose the sentence that I believe is appropriate under the

circumstances and the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your plea after today?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you may have the right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances, even if your plea agreement provides that you are waiving your right to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you serving right now any state or federal sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Are you being prosecuted anywhere for any crimes other than those at issue in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Let's talk now about your plea agreement itself. As I mentioned earlier, it is a seven-page letter which we have marked as Government Exhibit 1. That letter is dated January 30 of 2023.

Do you have a copy of it there?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you sign this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that your signature on page 7 above where your name is typed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand you're under no

obligation to waive your right to appeal or to otherwise

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

24

litigate your sentence?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the plea agreement provides that you're waiving your right to appeal or to attack your conviction on the basis that the government hasn't provided discovery material, exculpatory material, except information establishing factual innocence, or any material to impeach any government witnesses?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand you're under no obligation to waive those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the plea agreement provides that you will not move for a downward departure under the sentencing guidelines or seek any adjustment under the guidelines that's not described in the plea agreement but that you may make arguments for a lower sentence under the general sentencing statute that's known as Section 3553(a) that I discussed with you earlier?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that you're under no obligation to enter into such an agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I want to talk to you specifically about the financial provisions set forth in this

1 | plea agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So do you understand that pursuant to the plea agreement, you are admitting the forfeiture allegations in Count One and Three of the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you in fact admit those allegations?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have agreed in the plea agreement to forfeit to the United States a sum of money equal to \$65,441,683.82 representing proceeds traceable to the commission of the offenses with which you are charged?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that you have agreed to forfeit certain specific property, namely, the sum of \$2,460,040.79 previously on deposit in a JPMorgan Chase account; and, two, the alternative asset on deposit in an equity trust Roth IRA account?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand you're under no obligation to enter into such an agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you have consented to, we've previously discussed, this proposed preliminary order of forfeiture as to specific property, the two accounts that I referenced, and to entry of a money judgment representing

forfeiture in the amount of \$65,441,683.82.

Is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you understand you're under no obligation to consent to such an order?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And do you understand as well that in your plea agreement, you have agreed to make restitution in the amount of \$37,443,842.90 to the victims of the offense charged in Count One of the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have also agreed to pay additional restitution in the amount of \$200,000 on consent?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. As we've discussed, I do not have the proposed order. But you understand that these amounts are fixed and that you cannot challenge a plea of guilty, once I accept it, because you have second thoughts about any of these dollar amounts.

Correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you understand you're under no obligation to enter into these agreements about financial terms.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Finally, do you understand that your plea 3 agreement waives any challenge to your quilty plea and your 4 sentence based on any potential immigration consequences of 5 your plea, regardless of any advice you may have received about 6 immigration consequences? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And do you understand you're under no 9 obligation to make this waiver? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Does this plea agreement that we've been 12 talking about, the January 30, 2023, letter agreement marked as 13 Government Exhibit 1, reflect accurately your complete and 14 total understanding of the entire agreement between the 15 government and its lawyers and you? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Is everything you understand about your 18 plea and your sentence up to this point covered in this 19 agreement? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? THE DEFENDANT: I do not believe so. 22 2.3 THE COURT: Apart from what's contained in that plea

agreement, have any promises been made to you in order to get

24

25

you to plead guilty?

44

1 THE DEFENDANT: No. No promises.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way in order to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Have you been coerced in any way into pleading quilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: All right. Knowing all of what we've talked about up to this point, do you still wish to enter a plea of quilty pursuant to this agreement to Counts One and Three of the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you,

Ms. Gallicchio, do you know of any valid reason why your client would prevail at trial or why he should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor. I don't.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Parket, we are now at the point in the proceedings I told you earlier I would need you to tell me in your own words what it is you did that makes you guilty of the charges to which you tell me you intend to plead guilty.

Are you prepared to do so?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So count by count, first Count One and

2.3

then Count Three, please tell me what it is that makes you guilty.

First as to the count of wire fraud, I need you to tell me what you did, where you did it, when you did it, how you did it.

Whenever you are ready, you can proceed, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, with respect to wire fraud, over the course of several years, I did many terrible things, and I lied to many people. I received loans from a number of different people and a bank based on lies. I used email to send a lender --

THE COURT: Go slowly, please, sir. The court reporter is trying to take down.

THE DEFENDANT: I apologize.

THE COURT: That's okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I used email to send the lenders fake documents that I created to make it look like I qualified for the loans and had the money to pay them back. I also told lies in phone conversations with the lenders. I pledged them assets that were fake and that I did not have. I specifically intended to deceive them into thinking that I qualified for the loans.

Because of those lies, I was able to borrow more than \$50 million in loans from several lenders, including friends, a bank, and other institutions. I was able to pay back some of

the loans using other loans that I received in the same manner.

I knew it was wrong and illegal at the time, but I did it anyway. I was living in Manhattan when I committed this crime and lenders wired money to my personal accounts which are banks in Manhattan.

THE COURT: Is there anything further that the government believes I should discuss with Mr. Parket in connection with his allocution to Count One?

MS. CHONG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Sir, do you want to talk to me about Count Three then.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, tell me what you did, when you did it in your own words, please.

THE DEFENDANT: With respect to bank fraud, in June of 2020, I defrauded Park State Bank. I received a loan of almost \$8 million from the bank based on lies. To get the loan, I provided the bank with fraudulent documentation that referenced fake assets that I did not have. I knew what I was doing was illegal, and I wanted to deceive the bank so that I could borrow the money. The bank wired money to my Manhattan bank accounts. Although I repaid this loan, I know it was wrong to lie to get the loan.

I'm sorry to everyone I hurt by telling all of these I'm especially sorry to the people that I haven't been able to pay back yet and to my family who has been devastated by my crimes.

I am also focused on repaying the people that I have Words cannot express how mortified I am by what I have hurt. This is not who I was or what I stood for, and I make no excuses for my behavior. Now my only goal that remains in my life is to heal my family and to repay my victims.

THE COURT: All right. Sir, with respect to the bank fraud that you've just talked to me about, at the time that you gave them this false information and documents reflecting assets you didn't own, you knew what you were doing and you were intending to deceive the bank.

Correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you did that for the purpose of obtaining a loan?

Is that what you told me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you know, sir, whether this Park State Bank is insured by the FDIC?

THE DEFENDANT: I now know they were. I was not aware either way at the time.

THE COURT: Counsel, do you stipulate?

N2E39€AR22-cr-00311-MKV Document 40 Filed 03/06/23 Page 48 of 57 48 1 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. 2 MS. GALLICCHIO: We stipulate, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: At the time you did what you've told me 4 about in connection with the bank fraud, did you do that also from Manhattan? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: In Manhattan? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Chong, is there anything 10 further that you wish me to discuss with the defendant in connection with his allocution to Count Three? 11 12 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio? 14 MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor. Nothing further. 15 THE COURT: Sir, when you did what you did with 16 respect to both the bank fraud and the wire fraud, did you know 17 that what you were doing was wrong? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Did you know that it was illegal? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And you did what you did knowingly and of 22 your own free will? 2.3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

the government wishes me to address in connection with the

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further that

24

defendant's plea allocution?

MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: That's with respect to both counts?

MS. CHONG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So at this point, I'd ask you, Ms. Chong, to please summarize the government's evidence that you would present if this case were to go to trial.

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.

At trial, the government's evidence would consist of the following, among other things:

Victim testimony from individual and institutional lenders, including the defendant's acquaintances, friends, short-term bridge lenders, a real estate services company, a bank insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and an insurance company focused on helping clients save for retirement.

Regarding the defendant's offense conduct, the offense conduct they would attest to includes false stories constructed by the defendant to explain his need for a short-term liquidity for investment opportunities or real estate purchases; his false representations about his financial condition and the collateral he could provide for loans; and his use of false representations about his delayed acquisitions and temporary liquidity issues to induce existing lenders to extend the maturity date of his loans or to provide him millions of

dollars in additional loans.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Additional evidence includes hundreds of pages of documents created by the defendant and submitted to victims to support these stories, including falsified bank and brokerage statements and contracts allegedly reflecting his significant assets and ownership interests in valuable investment accounts; documents created by the defendant containing the names, titles, and forged signatures of three actual company executives he falsely claimed were his business associates in order to support his stories about his temporary need for loans and his ability to secure those loans; lengthy forged email correspondence between the defendant; and fake email accounts the defendant created for various company executives regarding others supposedly taken by the defendant and his purported business associates to appropriately secure the loans; bank records showing the defendant's receipt of over \$65 million from victims into his personal accounts and his payment of putative principal interest and fees to older lenders using monies obtained from newer lenders; and victim testimony; documents; and bank transfers showing the defendant also persuaded family members to transfer funds to his personal account by falsely promising to safely invest their savings on their behalf and then used their funds to pay fraudulently obtained loans.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1 Ms. Chong, is there an adequate factual basis to 2 support a plea by Mr. Parket of quilty to Count One and Count 3 Three? 4 MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio, the same question to you. Is there an adequate factual basis to support your 6 7 client's plea of guilty to Count One and Count Three? 8 MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 Now, Mr. Parket, we've now discussed your plea 11 agreement and possible penalties of the crime to which you tell me you wish to plead guilty. We can turn at this point to 12 13 entry of a plea. 14 Are you prepared to proceed? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 Will you stand, please, sir. THE COURT: 17 Mr. Parket, how do you plead to Count One of the 18 information? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Three of the 21 information? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty, your Honor? 2.3 THE COURT: Are you pleading quilty because you are 24 in fact quilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you doing so of your own free will? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Are you doing so without any threat of 6 violence, coercion, any kind of promise to induce you to plead 7 quilty? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you, 10 Ms. Gallicchio. 11 Are there any other questions that you believe I should ask Mr. Parket in connection with his plea? 12 13 MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Chong, any other questions 15 you believe I should ask in connection with this plea? 16 MS. CHONG: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 Mr. Parket, you have acknowledged that you are in fact 19 guilty as charged in Count One and Count Three of the 20 information. Because I'm satisfied that you know your rights, 21 including your right to go to trial, and you are waiving your 22 rights voluntarily and that you're aware of the consequences of 2.3 your plea, including the sentence that may be imposed, I find 24 that your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is

supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of

the essential elements of the offense.

I therefore accept your guilty plea, and I enter a judgment of guilty on Count One and Count Three of the information charging you with wire and bank fraud.

You may be seated, sir.

All right. I want to talk to you, Mr. Parket, about the process going forward before we adjourn.

First, as I said to you earlier, the probation office needs to prepare a presentence report that assists me in determining what an appropriate sentence is in this case. In order to prepare that report, the probation office needs to interview you.

It's very important that the information that you give to the probation office be truthful and that it be accurate.

It's important for you to cooperate with the probation office in connection with any inquiries they make of you. As I say, that report is very important to me in determining what an appropriate sentence is in this case.

Now, once the report is prepared in draft form, you and your lawyer will have the opportunity to review that report, as will the government and its lawyer. Each of you, the government and you, will have the opportunity to file any objections to the report. You and Ms. Gallicchio, your counsel, can comment upon the report. The probation office may make revisions based on input it receives from you or from the

1 government.

2.3

Ultimately it will file a report in final form which I will consider seriously in connection with your sentencing.

Before I sentence you, you will have the opportunity address the Court directly. you're not obligated to do so though.

Ms. Gallicchio, I assume you wish to be present at any interview.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I therefore order that no interview take place unless counsel is present. But I would ask you, Ms. Gallicchio, to please reach out to the probation office and try to schedule an interview with them in the next two weeks.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I remind you, Ms. Chong, please provide a statement of facts to the probation office in connection with the presentence report. Once you receive the draft report, I just remind each side to please give timely comments or objections to the probation office. Courtesy copies will be provided to chambers at that time.

Any submission by the defendant in connection with sentencing is due two weeks before sentencing. The government's submission is due one week before sentencing.

In terms of a date, June 28, does that work?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. That's fine.

1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: At 11:00 a.m.
2	THE COURT: Any objection from you, Ms. Chong?
3	MS. CHONG: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: June 28 at 11:00 a.m.
5	I just remind you again, Mr. Parket, that failure to
6	be truthful with the probation office or with the Court could
7	have an adverse effect on your sentencing. It could subject
8	you to separate further prosecution.
9	Specifically, I reserve the right to deny you the
10	two-level reduction in the calculation of your offense level
11	under the sentencing guidelines if you do not cooperate fully
12	with the probation office in connection with preparation of
13	your report.
14	Do you understand that, sir?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Parket has been
17	released on bail up to this point.
18	Is there any objection to that continuing?
19	MS. CHONG: No, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. So, sir, I just remind you.
21	You do remain free on release until the date of your
22	sentencing. All of the terms and conditions that were imposed
23	at the time you were initially released on bail remain in
24	effect, and you need to comply with them.

It's also critically important that you be in this

courtroom on the date set for sentencing at the time set for sentencing. If you're not here, that's called bail jumping, and you could be subject to a fine or a separate prison term in connection with that offense, separate and apart from what we've discussed today.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to sign the consent preliminary order of forfeiture that's been submitted to me, and it will be filed on the docket. That order provides that the money judgment is now final as of today's date. There will be a final order of forfeiture that will be submitted to me and that I will enter at the time of sentencing dealing specifically with the two accounts, the specific property.

Correct, Ms. Chong?

MS. CHONG: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And that's your understanding as well, Ms. Gallicchio?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Today is the 15th. So I would just ask counsel to please order a copy of the transcript of today's proceeding and file it on the docket. Make it available to the Court. I do go back and carefully look at the record, particularly the conversation with Mr. Parket in connection with his plea allocution, as I am preparing for sentencing.